

NORTH HOUSING MARKET CHARACTERISTIC AREA

HORSFORTH, ADEL & WHARFEDALE, KIRKSTALL, HEADINGLEY, WEETWOOD, MOORTOWN, ALWOODLEY, ROUNDHAY, CHAPEL ALLERTON WARDS (with a small area of Killingbeck & Seacroft Ward)

INTRODUCTION

1. North Housing Market Characteristic Area and Wards

- 1.1 Plan 1 shows the boundaries of the wards that fall, to a greater or lesser extent, within North Leeds Housing Market Characteristic Area (HMCA). The plan also shows the areas of greenspace by type that fall in the area.
- 1.2 The greenspace sites shown on the plan and used in the following assessment are those which were identified and surveyed during the citywide Open Space, Sport and Recreation Assessment (referred to as the Open Space Audit) in 2008 and not the allocated greenspace (N1, N1a, N5 and N6) identified in the UDP Review 2006. Many sites are in both but there are variations which must be noted: 1) some allocated sites are not included (where they have been developed); 2) others appear with amended boundaries; and 3) there are additional sites which are not currently allocated but have been identified through the audit as functioning as greenspace. Plan 2 overlays the existing UDP allocations with the boundaries of the Open Space Audit sites and thereby clearly shows the differences between the two. Appendix 1 contains a list of those allocated sites which do not appear on the plan and the reasons why they are not shown. It is proposed to delete these sites, revise the boundaries of some sites to reflect what is currently on the ground and designate the new sites identified through the Open Space Audit.
- 1.3 Housing Market Characteristic Areas are sub-areas recognising the diverse nature and characteristics of market areas across the City. These areas take account of topographical and settlement spatial definitions as well as operational housing markets in terms of house prices and land values. They reflect geographical areas that people tend to associate with finding properties to live in.
- 1.4 Whilst other subjects have been considered on an HMCA basis, the quantity of greenspace has been analysed according to wards because this allowed a more accurate analysis by ward population figures. The quality and accessibility of greenspace is assessed on an HMCA basis.
- 1.5 There are 10 wards that fall to a greater or lesser extent within the North Leeds HMCA. Four wards fall predominantly within the area, these being Weetwood, Kirkstall, Moortown and Roundhay, whilst Horsforth, Adel & Wharfedale, Alwoodley, Headingley and Chapel Allerton fall in more than one HMCA. A very small area of Killingbeck and Seacroft Ward falls within the area, however it is only a very small part of the ward and there are no areas of greenspace in it therefore the ward will be considered in detail under the Inner Area HMCA.
- 1.6 Where an area of greenspace falls across the boundary of the ward then only the part of the greenspace that falls within the ward has been included in the analysis. Care has been taken to check this would not result in the division of a facility.

2.0 Total Greenspace in 9 Wards

- 2.1 Total greenspace in all wards is **1593.196 ha** on **347** greenspace sites. Excluding green corridors, cemeteries and golf courses the total is **1110.629 ha** and this relates to **309** sites. There are **4** sites within Alwoodley and Roundhay Wards covering an area of **2.809ha** that fall within the Outer North East HMCA.

3.0 Core Strategy Policy G3: Standards for Open Space, Sport and Recreation

- 3.1 Policy G3 sets out standards for the following types of greenspace:
- Parks and Gardens
 - Outdoor Sports Provision - excludes MUGAs, single goal ends and golf courses. Includes tennis courts, bowling greens, athletics tracks, synthetic pitches, adult pitches, junior pitches (football, rugby, cricket)
 - Amenity greenspace – excludes cemeteries.
 - Children and young people's equipped play facilities – includes MUGAs skate parks, teen shelters, play facilities.
 - Allotments – both used and unused.
 - Natural greenspace - excludes green corridors.
- 3.2 There are no standards in the Core Strategy for cemeteries, green corridors and golf courses (but these are shown on Plan 1 for completeness).

QUANTITY OF GREENSPACE

4.0 Methodology

- 4.1 The tables below show the breakdown of provision, or **quantity**, for each of the 6 types of greenspace defined in Policy G3 in the Core Strategy. The quantities have been divided by the total population of each ward to give a standard which can be compared against the standards in Policy G3.
- 4.2 The ward population is taken from the ONS Population Census 2011. Ward Populations are as follows:

Ward	Population
Horsforth	21,506
Adel & Wharfedale	19,430
Kirkstall	21,709
Headingley	20,533
Weetwood	22,375
Moortown	22,792
Alwoodley	22,766
Roundhay	22,546
Chapel Allerton	23,536

- 4.3 Child populations are taken from the ONS Population Census 2011 and the 2007 mid year estimates. The 2011 census figures are grouped in 5 year categories so there are accurate figures for 0 - 4, 5 – 9 and 10 – 14 year olds. The next category is 15 – 19 year olds so the 2007 mid year estimates have been used to estimate the number of 15 and 16 year olds. These estimates are broken down to individual years so the number of 11 and 12 year olds in 2007 (15 and 16 year olds in 2011) has been added to the 2011 population figures to give an estimate of children and young people by ward. This is set out below:

Ward	Population aged 0 -16 years
Horsforth	3,885
Adel & Wharfedale	3,656
Kirkstall	3,224
Headingley	777
Weetwood	3,537
Moortown	3,947
Alwoodley	4,478
Roundhay	4,901
Chapel Allerton	4,794

- 4.4 Core Strategy Policy G3 identifies the following standards for quantity of greenspace:

Greenspace type	Quantity per 1000 population
Parks and Gardens	1 hectare
Outdoor sports provision	1.2 hectares (excluding education provision)
Amenity greenspace	0.45 hectares
Children and young people's equipped play facilities	2 facilities per 1,000 children (excluding education provision)
Allotments	0.24 hectares
Natural Greenspace	0.7 hectares (main urban area and major settlements, 2 ha other areas)

5.0 Quantities by types and Wards

- 5.1 The quantities of greenspace types compared to the Core Strategy standards are as follows for the nine wards in the North area.

Parks and Gardens

Parks and Gardens Horsforth Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
61	Horsforth Hall Park	15.151
1108	Drury Avenue Recreation Ground	1.969
1109	Cragg Hill Recreation Ground	2.849
1356	Jubilee Hall	0.694
	Total	20.663

- 5.3.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** - $20.663 \div 22.457 = 0.92$ hectares

- 5.3.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Horsforth ward falls slightly short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens.

Parks and Gardens Adel & Wharfedale Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
53	Golden Acre Park	41.998

58	Holt Park	2.136
712	Pool Recreation Ground	3.232
26	Bedquilts Recreation Ground	22.123
	Total	69.489

5.2.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** - $69.489 \div 19.430 = 3.57$ hectares

5.2.2 **Conclusions:** Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Adel & Wharfedale ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard by more than double and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens.

Parks and Gardens Kirkstall Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
34	Burley Park	6.347
70	Kirkstall Abbey Estate	24.157
678	Old Farm Close Greenspace	1.570
473	Sandford Road	1.564
387	The Village Street Recreation Ground	1.874
1833	Hawksworth Wood	21.848
25	Becketts Park	0.314
25	Becketts Park	2.173
	Total	59.847

Quantity (per thousand people) – $59.847 \div 21.709 = 2.76$ hectares

Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Kirkstall ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens.

Parks and Gardens Headingley Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
25	Becketts Park	2.017
	Total	2.017

Quantity (per thousand people) – $2.017 \div 20.533 = 0.1$ hectares

Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Headingley ward falls extremely short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens.

Parks and Gardens Weetwood Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
144	Meanwood Park	1.247
100	Tinshill Recreation Ground	6.876
25	Becketts Park	14.320
1492	Silk Mill Bank	1.132
	Total	23.575

Quantity (per thousand people) – $23.575 \div 22.375 = 1.05$ hectares

Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Weetwood ward slightly exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens.

Parks and Gardens Moortown Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
144	Meanwood Park	32.904
898	Stonegate Approach Recreation Ground	0.222
	Total	33.126

Quantity (per thousand people) – $33.126 \div 22.792 = 1.45$ hectares

Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Moortown ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens.

Parks and Gardens Alwoodley Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
122	Cranmer Bank Recreation Ground	4.679
516	Shadwell Lane Recreation Ground	2.839
1510	Cragg Lane Rec	2.888
	Total	10.406

5.4.1 Quantity (per thousand people) $10.406 \div 22.776 = 0.45$ hectares

5.4.2 Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Alwoodley ward falls below the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has an under provision in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens.

Parks and Gardens Roundhay Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
983	The Bumps	2.397
150	Roundhay Park	148.090
	Total	150.487

Quantity (per thousand people) – $150.487 \div 22.546 = 6.67$ hectares

Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Roundhay ward significantly exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens. This is entirely due to Roundhay Park.

Parks and Gardens Chapel Allerton Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
115	Chapel Allerton Park	3.313
1272	Miles Hill	2.089
111	Buslingthorpe Recreation Ground	1.959

87	Potternewton Park	12.606
	Total	19.967

Quantity (per thousand people) – $19.967 \div 23.536 = 0.85$ hectares

Compared against the standard of 1 hectare per 1000 population, Chapel Allerton ward falls below the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has an under provision in terms of the quantity of Parks and Gardens.

Parks and Gardens - Overall Conclusions

- 5.4.1 If the totals for the 9 wards are added together it creates an overall standard of **1.98 hectares per 1,000 population** for the area. This exceeds the Core Strategy standard however this figure is an average so whilst there is an excess of provision in 5 Wards, there is an under provision in 4 Wards. This demonstrates that the quantity of parks and gardens is very unevenly distributed across the North HMCA with some wards being very deficient (Headingley) and other wards having a large surplus (Adel and Wharfedale, Roundhay).

Outdoor Sports Provision

5.5 Methodology

- 5.5.1 Outdoor sports facilities in educational use have been excluded as it cannot be assumed that these are available for the public to use. Golf courses have also been excluded.
- 5.5.2 There are instances where outdoor sports provision occurs within other primary typologies. We have identified these and used the Sport England Comparison Standards to extract out the size of facilities as follows:
- Playing pitch (adult) = 1.2ha
 - Junior pitch = 0.5ha
 - Bowling green = 0.14ha
 - Tennis court = 0.0742ha
 - Cricket pitch = 1.37ha
 - Synthetic turf pitch = 0.7ha

Outdoor Sports Provision Horsforth Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
61	Horsforth Hall Park
967	Calverley Lane Sports Ground
1279	Rawdon Golf Course tennis courts
1836	Brownberrie Lane Rec
67	King George's Playing Fields
162	Woodside Recreation Ground
1063	Yarn Bury Rugby Club
1108	Drury Avenue Recreation Ground
1109	Cragg Hill Recreation Ground
1818	Rawdon Meadow Playing Fields
1816	Woodhouse Public School Playing Fields

- 5.8.1 The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

Type	No.	Area (ha)
Adult Pitches	21	25.2
Junior Pitches	3	1.5
Cricket Pitches	7	9.59
Tennis Courts	13	0.965
Bowling Green	2	0.28
Synthetic Pitches	0	0
Total	46	37.535

5.8.2 **Quantity (per thousand people)** - $37.535 \div 22.457 = 1.7$ hectares

5.8.3 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectares per 1000 population, Horsforth Ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

Outdoor Sports Provision Adel & Wharfedale Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
734	Pool Cricket Club
736	Arthington Cricket ground
925	New Rover Cricket Club
743	Bramhope Bowls Club
58	Holt Park
1851	Bramhope Tennis Club
1651	Holt Lane
1652	Old Cricket Ground Pool Road Mills
991	Adel Sports and Social Club
712	Pool Recreation Ground
741	Bramhope Recreation Ground
746	West Park Leeds RUFC Ground
26	Bedquilts Recreation Ground
1493	Cookridge Cricket Club
1500	Old Moderians Association

5.7.1 The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

Type	No.	Area (ha)
Adult Pitches	12	14.4
Junior Pitches	1	0.5
Cricket Pitches	12	16.44
Tennis Courts	10	0.742
Bowling Green	3	0.42
Synthetic Pitches	2	1.4
Total	40	33.90

5.7.2 **Quantity (per thousand people)** - $33.90 \div 19.43 = 1.74$ hectares

5.7.3 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectares per 1000 population, Adel & Wharfedale Ward exceeds recommended Core Strategy standard and has a surplus of provision in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

Outdoor Sports Provision Kirkstall Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
1375	Leeds Rugby Academy
928	Stanmore Terrace Sports Ground
34	Burley Park
70	Kirkstall Abbey Estate
1382	Abbey Gardens Rugby Pitches
1679	Butcher Hill Sports Ground
387	The Village Street Recreation Ground
1822	Willow Road - Rising Sun POS
1256	Queenswood Drive Sports Pitches
1833	Hawksworth Wood
1322	West Park Playing Fields
25	Becketts Park
25	Becketts Park

The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

Type	No.	Area (ha)
Adult Pitches	21	25.2
Junior Pitches	5	2.5
Cricket Pitches	3	4.11
Tennis Courts	15	1.11
Bowling Green	4	0.56
Synthetic Pitches	0	0
Total	48	33.48

Quantity (per thousand people) – $33.48 \div 21.709 = 1.54$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectares per 1000 population, Kirkstall Ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

Outdoor Sports Provision Headingley Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
893	Headingley Stadium - Rugby Ground
894	Headingley Stadium - Yorkshire Cricket Club

The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

Type	No.	Area (ha)
Adult Pitches	1	1.2
Junior Pitches	0	0
Cricket Pitches	1	1.37
Tennis Courts	0	0
Bowling Green	0	0
Synthetic Pitches	0	0
Total	2	2.57

Quantity (per thousand people) – $2.57 \div 20.533 = 0.12$ hectares

Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectares per 1000 population, Headingley Ward falls significantly below the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has an extreme under provision in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

Outdoor Sports Provision Weetwood Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
100	Tinshill Recreation Ground
1790	Lawnswood Recreation Ground
98	The Hollies
1322	West Park Playing Fields
25	Becketts Park
891	St Chads Tennis Club
892	St Chads Broomfield Cricket Club

The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

Type	No.	Area (ha)
Adult Pitches	13	15.6
Junior Pitches	2	1
Cricket Pitches	2	2.74
Tennis Courts	15	1.11
Bowling Green	0	0
Synthetic Pitches	0	0
Total	32	20.45

Quantity (per thousand people) – $20.45 \div 22.375 = 0.91$ hectares

Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectares per 1000 population, Weetwood Ward falls below the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has an under provision in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

Outdoor Sports Provision Moortown Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
144	Meanwood Park
113	Carr Manor Recreation Ground
1598	Yorkshire Bank Sports Ground
985	The Moor Allerton Sports and Social Centre
945	David Lloyd Sports Club
60	Highbury Cricket Club (Disused)
946	Stonegate Road Recreation Ground
98	The Hollies
1283	Meanwood Cricket Club
518	Round Legions Club (Rugby Ground)

The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

Type	No.	Area (ha)
Adult Pitches	4	4.8

Junior Pitches	2	1
Cricket Pitches	2	2.74
Tennis Courts	22	1.63
Bowling Green	2	0.28
Synthetic Pitches	0	0
Total	32	10.45

Quantity (per thousand people) – $10.45 \div 22.792 = 0.46$ hectares

Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectares per 1000 population, Moortown Ward falls noticeably below the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has an under provision in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

Outdoor Sports Provision Alwoodley Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
122	Cranmer Bank Recreation Ground
516	Shadwell Lane Recreation Ground
1513	Leodiensions Rugby Pitches
1293	Alwoodley Cricket Club
693	Allerton High School
689	Alwoodley Park
698	Wigton Sports Association
688	Alwoodley Community Association Sports Ground

5.8.1 The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

Type	No.	Area (ha)
Adult Pitches	11	13.2
Junior Pitches	6	3
Cricket Pitches	2	2.74
Tennis Courts	2	0.148
Bowling Green	0	0
Synthetic Pitches	0	0
Total	21	19.09

5.8.2 **Quantity (per thousand people) - $19.09 \div 22.776 = 0.84$ hectares**

5.8.3 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectares per 1000 population, Alwoodley ward falls short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

Outdoor Sports Provision Roundhay Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
502	Gledhow Lane Cricket Pitch
1719	Roundhay Tennis Club
150	Roundhay Park

The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

Type	No.	Area (ha)
-------------	------------	------------------

Adult Pitches	6	7.2
Junior Pitches	6	3
Cricket Pitches	7	9.59
Tennis Courts	18	1.33
Bowling Green	1	0.14
Synthetic Pitches	0	0
Total	38	21.26

Quantity (per thousand people) - $21.26 \div 22.546 = 0.94$ hectares

5.8.3 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectares per 1000 population, Roundhay ward falls short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

Outdoor Sports Provision Chapel Allerton Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
1273	Chapel Allerton Tennis, Squash and Gym Club
1546	Scott Hall Sports Pitches
115	Chapel Allerton Park
496	Yorkshire Amateur FC
1281	Scott Hall Pitches
1175	Newton Road
1167	Meanwood Road
935	Meanwood Road Rugby Club
936	Woodhouse Cricket Club
111	Buslingthorpe Recreation Ground
87	Potternewton Park
1532	Chapel Town Football Youth Development Centre

The quantity of outdoor sports provision on the above sites is as follows:

Type	No.	Area (ha)
Adult Pitches	12	14.4
Junior Pitches	1	0.5
Cricket Pitches	3	4.11
Tennis Courts	17	1.26
Bowling Green	4	0.56
Synthetic Pitches	0	0
Total	37	20.83

Quantity (per thousand people) - $20.83 \div 23.536 = 0.88$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 1.2 hectares per 1000 population, Chapel Allerton ward falls short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of outdoor sports provision.

Outdoor Sports Provision – Overall Conclusions

5.10.1 If the totals of the 9 wards are added together it creates an overall standard of **1.01 hectares per 1,000 population**. This is less than the Core Strategy standard and reflects the fact that of the 9 wards, only 3 have a surplus in the quantity of outdoor sport

provision and 6 of the wards are deficient, two of which are very significantly deficient (Headingley and Moortown).

Amenity Greenspace

Amenity Greenspace Horsforth Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_ha
1352	King George Road	0.283
1666	Fulford Grange 1	0.276
1667	Fulford Grange 2	0.368
1342	Emmott Drive	0.215
1491	Roos Field	0.239
162	Woodside Recreation Ground	1.265
1402	Woodside Park Avenue POS	1.863
Total		4.509

5.12.1 Quantity (per thousand people) - $4.509 \div 22.457 = 0.20$ hectares

5.12.2 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Horsforth Ward falls short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of amenity greenspace.

Amenity Greenspace Adel & Wharfedale Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
735	Swallow Drive Greenspace	0.354
989	Mullbery Greenspace	0.869
186	Holtdale Place	1.133
1660	Ridgeside	0.416
1250	Cookridge Village Hall	0.113
972	Oaklands Fold Greenspace	0.211
1651	Holt Lane	2.311
1655	Hilton Grange	0.881
1252	Holtdale Approach	0.688
1253	Holtdale Green	0.493
1874	Holtdale Approach	0.460
	Total	7.929

5.11.1 Quantity (per thousand people) $7.929 \div 19.430 = 0.40$ hectares

5.11.2 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Adel & Wharfedale ward falls fractionally below the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has an under provision of amenity greenspace.

Amenity Greenspace Kirkstall Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
1263	Queenswood Gardens	0.247
1374	Commercial Road Wildlife Garden	0.852
1847	West Park Ring Road Open Space	5.992
1677	Beckett Park Community Centre	0.276

471	Vesper Road Quarry	0.291
389	Greenhow Road	0.634
1822	Willow Road - Rising Sun POS	1.139
1373	Kirkstall Hill Amenity Area	1.080
1708	Norman Towers	0.678
	Total	11.189

Quantity (per thousand people) $11.189 \div 21.709 = 0.51$ hectares

5.11.2 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Kirkstall ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has small surplus provision in terms of the quantity of amenity greenspace.

Amenity Greenspace Headingley Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
932	Grosvenor Road Greenspace	0.415
1533	Hinsley Hall	0.529
105	Lupton Flats Greenspace	0.975
	Total	1.919

Quantity (per thousand people) – $1.919 \div 20.533 = 0.09$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Headingley Ward falls extremely short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of amenity greenspace.

Amenity Greenspace Weetwood Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
1755	Haven Chase POS	0.346
943	Weetwood Avenue Greenspace	0.248
1221	Iveson Drive	0.294
1662	Becket Park Campus - The Acre	1.808
888	St Chad's Parish Centre	0.582
934	Grove Lane Greenspace	0.740
1527	Tinshill Mount	0.236
1158	Cookridge Methodist Church	0.217
1784	Cookridge Hospital Grounds 2.	0.632
1783	Cookridge Hospital Grounds 1.	1.285
1879	Former Headingley Filter Beds	0.543
	Total	6.931

Quantity (per thousand people) – $6.931 \div 22.375 = 0.31$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Weetwood Ward falls short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of amenity greenspace.

Amenity Greenspace Moortown Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
----------------	------------------	----------------

113	Carr Manor Recreation Ground	4.252
515	Church Crescent Greenspace	0.349
517	High Moor Avenue Greenspace	0.891
899	Stonegate Lane Greenspace	0.463
945	David Lloyd Sports Club	9.428
65	Mill Pond Lane Greenspace	0.555
1595	Queenshill	0.372
946	Stonegate Road Recreation Ground	10.511
1217	Leafield POS	0.273
900	Church Avenue Greenspace	0.433
897	Stonegate Approach Greenspace	0.510
	Total	28.037

Quantity (per thousand people) – $28.037 \div 22.792 = 1.23$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Moortown Ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of amenity greenspace.

5.13 ***Amenity Greenspace Alwoodley***

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
700	Lingfield Hill Greenspace	0.217
429	Alderton Crescent	0.251
431	Tynwald Road	0.284
432	Tynwald Drive	0.268
433	Saxon Grove Wood	1.397
434	Boundary Farm Road - Church of the Nazarene	0.266
435	Deanswood	0.744
427	Scotland Wood Road Greenspace	0.503
444	Sandringham Gardens	0.725
451	Lingfield Gate	0.358
690	Windermere Drive	0.240
687	Birkdale Walk Greenspace	0.397
977	Middlethorne Rise Greenspace	1.276
978	Moss Plantation	4.476
980	Shadwell Lane Greenspace	0.726
1230	High Ash Drive	0.570
686	Nursery Grove Greenspace	0.269
691	St Barnabos Church	0.266
1268	Tynwald Road POS	0.251
1876	Saxton Mount/Tynwald Drive	0.259
Total		13.743

5.13.1 Quantity (per thousand people) $13.743 \div 22.776 = 0.60$ hectares

5.13.2 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Alwoodley ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has a small surplus of provision in terms of the quantity of amenity greenspace.

Amenity Greenspace Roundhay Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
498	Brackenwood Community Centre	0.369
508	Lidgett Towers Greenspace	0.311
336	Upland Crescent / Easterly Avenue Amenity Area	0.617
356	Foxglove Avenue Greenspace	2.191
1540	Lincombe Mount	0.412
506	Gledhow Towers Greenspace	0.506
	Total	4.406

Quantity (per thousand people) - $4.406 \div 22.546 = 0.19$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Roundhay Ward falls short of the recommended Core Strategy standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of amenity greenspace.

Amenity Greenspace Chapel Allerton Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
1174	Beckhill Fold	0.420
939	Meanwood Road Green Corridor (1)	3.059
1172	Potternewton Heights	0.610
1167	Meanwood Road	1.817
752	Meanwood Valley Model Farm	10.016
1596	Reginald Street	0.845
410	Gledhow Manor Park	1.137
1815	St Martins Institute	0.270
	Total	18.174

Quantity (per thousand people) – $18.174 \div 23.536 = 0.77$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.45 hectares per 1000 population, Chapel Allerton Ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of amenity greenspace.

Amenity Greenspace – Overall Conclusions

If the totals for the 9 wards are added together it creates an overall standard of **0.4778 hectares per 1,000 population** for the area. This exceeds the Core Strategy standard however this figure is an average so whilst there is an excess of provision in 4 Wards, there is an under provision in 5 Wards with Headingley coming out as very deficient.

Children and Young People's equipped play facilities:

5.15 Methodology

5.15.1 These lists exclude play facilities that are in educational use, since these are only available during the school day and by the children attending that particular school.

Children & Young People's Equipped Play Facilities Horsforth Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
----------------	------------------

61	Horsforth Hall Park
162	Woodside Recreation Ground
1356	Jubilee Hall

Type of Facility	Number
MUGA	0
Child Play Area	4
Skate Park	1
Teen Shelter	0
TOTAL	5 FACILITIES

5.17.1 **Requirement and provision** - $3.885 \times 2 = 7.8$ **facilities** are required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Horsforth Ward does not meet the standard as it only has **5** children's play facilities.

Children & Young People's Equipped Play Facilities Adel & Wharfedale Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
740	Bramhope Playground ("The Knoll")
58	Holt Park
1660	Ridgeside
1250	Cookridge Village Hall
1651	Holt Lane
712	Pool Recreation Ground
	TOTAL

Type of Facility	Number
MUGA	1
Child Play Area	6
Skate Park	1
Teen Shelter	0
TOTAL	8 Facilities

5.16.1 **Requirement and provision** – $3.656 \times 2 = 7$ **facilities** required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Adel & Wharfedale ward has a slight surplus in terms of Children and Young People's Equipped Play provision as it has **8** facilities.

Children & Young Peoples Equipped Play Facilities Kirkstall Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
34	Burley Park
70	Kirkstall Abbey Estate
1833	Hawksworth Wood
25	Becketts Park
25	Becketts Park

Type of Facility	Number
MUGA	1
Child Play Area	5
Skate Park	2

Teen Shelter	0
TOTAL	8

5.17.2 **Requirement and provision** – $3.224 \times 2 = 6.4$ **facilities** are required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Kirkstall Ward has a surplus in terms of Children and Young People's Equipped Play provision as it has **8** facilities.

5.17.3 Children & Young Peoples Equipped Play Facilities Headingley Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
216	Headingley Cricket Ground Practice Area

Type of Facility	Number
MUGA	3
Child Play Area	0
Skate Park	0
Teen Shelter	0
TOTAL	3 Facilities

5.17.4 **Requirement and provision** – $0.777 \times 2 = 1.55$ **facilities** are required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Headingley Ward has a surplus in terms of Children and Young People's Equipped Play provision as it has **3** facilities.

Children & Young Peoples Equipped Play Facilities Weetwood Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
1527	Tinshill Mount
25	Becketts Park
1492	Silk Mill Bank

Type of Facility	Number
MUGA	0
Child Play Area	4
Skate Park	1
Teen Shelter	1
TOTAL	6 Facilities

5.17.5 **Requirement and provision** – $3.537 \times 2 = 7.07$ **facilities** are required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Weetwood Ward does not meet the standard as it only has **6** children's play facilities.

Children & Young Peoples Equipped Play Facilities Moortown Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
144	Meanwood Park
985	The Moor Allerton Sports and Social Centre
898	Stonegate Approach Recreation Ground
1282	Meanwood Park Hospital Grounds (Former)

Type of Facility	Number
------------------	--------

MUGA	1
Child Play Area	6
Skate Park	1
Teen Shelter	0
TOTAL	8 Facilities

5.17.6 **Requirement and provision** – $3.947 \times 2 = 7.89$ **facilities** are required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Moortown Ward has a small surplus in terms of Children and Young People's Equipped Play provision as it has **8** facilities.

5.17 ***Children & Young Peoples Equipped Play Facilities Alwoodley***

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
122	Cranmer Bank Recreation Ground
1510	Cragg Lane Rec

Type of Facility	Number
MUGA	0
Child Play Area	2
Skate Park	1
Teen Shelter	0
TOTAL	3 Facilities

5.18.1 **Requirement and provision** - $4.478 \times 2 = 9$ **facilities** are required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Alwoodley Ward is significantly under provided for in terms of Children and Young People's Equipped Play provision as it has only **3** facilities, a third of the required amount.

Children & Young Peoples Equipped Play Facilities Roundhay Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
983	The Bumps
412	Chandos Gardens Playground
150	Roundhay Park

Type of Facility	Number
MUGA	1
Child Play Area	6
Skate Park	1
Teen Shelter	0
TOTAL	8 Facilities

Requirement and provision – $4.901 \times 2 = 9.8$ **facilities** are required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Roundhay Ward is under provided for in terms of Children and Young People's Equipped Play provision as it has only **8** facilities.

Children & Young Peoples Equipped Play Facilities Chapel Allerton Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME
115	Chapel Allerton Park

1272	Miles Hill
1281	Scott Hall Pitches
111	Buslingthorpe Recreation Ground
87	Potternewton Park
1596	Reginald Street
1532	Chapel Town Football Youth Development Centre

Type of Facility	Number
MUGA	1
Child Play Area	6
Skate Park	1
Teen Shelter	0
TOTAL	8 Facilities

Requirement and provision – $4.794 \times 2 = 9.59$ **facilities** are required to meet the Core Strategy standard of 2 facilities per 1,000 children. Therefore Chapel Allerton Ward is under provided for in terms of Children and Young People's Equipped Play provision as it has only **8** facilities.

Children and Young Peoples Equipped Play Facilities – Overall Conclusions

If the totals for the 9 wards are added together there are 57 children and young people's equipped play facilities in the North HMCA. To meet the Core Strategy standard the requirement is for a quantity of 66.1 facilities. This indicates that overall the North HMCA is under-provided for in terms of quantity of equipped play facilities with Alwoodley ward having the greatest deficiency.

Allotments:

Allotments Horsforth Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
823	Willow Green Allotments	1.470
1704	Springfield Close Allotments	0.389
1834	Low Hall Road Allotments	0.244
1106	Broadgate Lane (King Edward Avenue) Allotments	0.727
1103	Cragg Wood Allotments	1.018
Total		3.848

5.21.1 Quantity (per thousand people) - $3.848 \div 22.457 = 0.17$ **hectares**

5.21.2 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Horsforth Ward falls short of the recommended standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of allotments.

Allotments Adel & Wharfedale Ward

SITE ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
1756	Arthington Allotments	0.379
TOTAL		0.379

5.20.1 Quantity (per thousand people) $0.379 \div 20.354 = 0.02$ **hectares**

5.20.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Adel & Wharfedale ward falls short of the recommended standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of allotments.

Allotments Kirkstall Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
1707	Church Lane Allotment - Kirkstall	2.289
142	Beechwood Crescent Allotments	0.574
1002	Burley Model Allotment	2.797
1705	Headingley Station Allotments	2.504
477	Burley Mills (aka Goit Allotments)	6.534
	Total	14.698

Quantity (per thousand people) - $14.698 \div 21.709 = 0.68$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Kirkstall Ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of allotments.

Allotments Headingley Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
1020	St Anne's Road Allotments	0.556
1021	Ash Road Allotments	4.048
	Total	4.604

Quantity (per thousand people) - $4.604 \div 20.533 = 0.22$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Headingley Ward falls slightly short of the recommended standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of allotments.

Allotments Weetwood Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
1022	Hollin Lane Allotments (Mill Race)	2.179
	Total	2.179

Quantity (per thousand people) - $2.179 \div 22.375 = 0.10$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Weetwood Ward falls short of the recommended standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of allotments.

Allotments Moortown Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
933	Parkside Road Allotments	0.860

919	Stonegate Road Allotments	0.402
1023	Highbury Small Holding	0.224
	Total	1.486

Quantity (per thousand people) - $1.486 \div 22.792 = 0.06$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Moortown Ward falls significantly short of the recommended standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of allotments.

Allotments Alwoodley Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
1842	Deanswood Drive Allotments	0.234
	Total	0.234

5.22.1 Quantity (per thousand people) $0.234 \div 22.776 = 0.01$ hectares

5.22.2 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Alwoodley Ward falls significantly short of the recommended standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of allotments.

Allotments Roundhay Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
503	Roundhay Allotment Gardens	1.613
504	North Park Avenue Allotments	1.495
	Total	3.108

Quantity (per thousand people) - $3.108 \div 20.354 = 0.15$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Roundhay Ward falls short of the recommended standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of allotments.

Allotments Chapel Allerton Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
1589	Bandstand Allotments	1.212
413	Gledhow Valley Allotments	4.292
1173	Meanwood Valley Urban Farm	0.289
	Total	5.793

Quantity (per thousand people) - $5.793 \div 23.536 = 0.25$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.24 hectares per 1000 population, Chapel Allerton Ward slightly exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of allotments.

5.23 Allotments – overall conclusions

5.23.1 If the totals for the 9 wards are added together it creates an overall average provision of **0.184 hectares per 1,000 population**. This is less than the Core

Strategy standard and reflects the fact that the majority of the wards in the North HMCA are under-provided for in terms of the quantity of allotments, with two of the wards being very deficient. Only 2 of the 9 wards have a surplus in provision.

Natural Greenspace

Natural Greenspace Horsforth

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_ha
1404	Little Hawksworth Wood	3.634
1355	Simms Pond	0.353
702	Park Lane College Horsforth	7.841
62	Hungerhills Wood	6.346
1665	Fulford Grange Meadow	1.077
1413	Orchard Hill	2.203
1658	Hall Lane (Hunger Hills Wood Smaller Site)	0.512
1785	Cragg Wood South	9.985
1787	Cragg Wood North	5.671
634	Calverley Picnic Area	1.341
1314	Bank Avenue/Gardens (Rear of)	0.225
1064	Wetstone Plantation	11.871
1140	Haigh Wood, West of the Railway Line	9.278
1111	Cragg Hill Farm	1.386
1412	Springwood Road (Rear of)	1.166
1380	New Road Side - Former Sewage Works	3.353
1381	The Outwood - Horsforth	2.281
1403	Cragg Wood Road	0.365
1401	High Fields	1.095
764	Swaine Wood	6.705
1110	Cragg Wood	3.533
1875	Victoria Mount/Crescent	2.482
1877	Rear of Woodside Pub	1.169
	Total	83.872

5.25.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** - $83.872 \div 22.457 = 3.73$ hectares

5.25.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Horsforth Ward significantly exceeds the recommended standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

Natural Greenspace Adel & Wharfedale Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
988	Tile Lane Wood	0.233
31	Breary Marsh	14.908
1721	Hall Drive	3.598
1354	Moseley Wood Croft	0.771
83	Otley Chevin Country Park	35.735
971	Smithy Mills Wood	0.651
973	Oaklands Grove Greenspace	0.310
974	East Moor Wood	2.255
1654	Hillcrest	1.425

1703	Smithy Lane Woods.	4.461
713	Pool Road Greenspace	1.669
747	Well Head Wood	2.709
748	Spring Wood	3.163
18	Adel Woods	4.744
154	Scotland Woods	4.597
1664	Mosley Beck	0.880
1501	Chestnut Plantation	3.275
990	Adel Wood (Adj to Long Causeway)	1.279
	Total	86.663

5.24.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** - $86.663 \div 19.430 = 4.46$ hectares

5.24.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Adel & Wharfedale Ward exceeds the recommended Core Strategy standard by a huge margin and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

Natural Greenspace Kirkstall Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
1706	Morris Woods	6.171
1672	Fillingfir Flicks	0.846
1198	Hollybush Wild Flower (BTCV)	0.402
1197	Monks Wood	1.048
474	Woodland Off Lea Farm Mount	5.063
475	Hawksworth Woods	1.396
476	Goits Woodland Walk 1	3.595
	Total	18.521

Quantity (per thousand people) – $18.521 \div 21.709 = 0.85$ hectares

5.25.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Kirkstall Ward exceeds the recommended standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

Natural Greenspace Headingley Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
160	Woodhouse Ridge	10.881
1718	Shire View	1.121
	Total	12.002

Quantity (per thousand people) – $12.002 \div 20.533 = 0.58$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Headingley Ward falls short of the recommended standard and so is deficient in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

Natural Greenspace Weetwood Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
1369	Haven Chase	0.511
1367	Ireland Wood & Cookridge Hospital Grounds	8.561
1141	Iveson Wood	2.634
1142	Clayton Wood	13.308
1143	Spring Wood	6.660
944	Weetwood Avenue Wood	0.660
1180	Ireland Wood B	2.339
1661	Queenswood Drive (Rear of)	5.875
98	The Hollies	22.664
1145	Back House Wood	2.961
1220	Bedford Close	0.364
1140	Haigh Wood, West of the Railway Line	0.166
1528	Church Wood	3.409
1370	Luttrell Crescent	0.536
1526	Ireland Wood	2.750
1522	Silk Mill Mews East	0.361
1791	Laith Road	1.413
1144	Daffy Wood	5.482
	Total	80.654

Quantity (per thousand people) – $80.654 \div 22.375 = 3.6$ hectares

Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Weetwood Ward significantly exceeds the recommended standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

Natural Greenspace Moortown Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
921	Ridge Plantation	2.977
923	Tongue Lane Wood	0.682
17	Addymans Wood	2.602
926	Tunnel How Wood	1.702
1171	Leafield Grange	0.227
145	The Spinney	0.267
98	The Hollies	0.088
1178	Highwood Grove Woods	0.856
1177	Shadwell Ring Road Woods	1.316
901	Parkside Green Greenspace	0.702
1282	Meanwood Park Hospital Grounds (Former)	17.486
	Total	28.905

Quantity (per thousand people) – $28.905 \div 22.792 = 1.27$ hectares

5.25.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Moortown Ward exceeds the recommended standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

Natural Greenspace Alwoodley Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
448	Sandringham Mount Wood	0.710
449	Fir Tree Wood	2.391
981	Dark Wood	2.330
982	Birch Wood	3.543
1649	Goodrick Lane	0.570
1645	Shadwell Lane	0.346
1179	Moor Allerton POS	0.454
1600	Verity's Shaft	0.274
689	Alwoodley Park	12.226
18	Adel Woods	43.541
154	Scotland Woods	16.841
692	The Valley Greenspace	0.444
75	Moss Woods	5.457
	Total	89.127

5.26.1 **Quantity (per thousand people)** - $89.127 \div 22.776 = 3.91$ hectares

5.26.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Alwoodley Ward exceeds the recommended standard and so has surplus in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

Natural Greenspace Roundhay Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
52	Gledhow Valley Woods	15.634
17	Addymans Wood	6.951
463	Gledhow Valley Road Wood	8.230
50	Gipton Wood	8.207
1486	Park Road Avenue Woodland	1.333
1712	Wetherby Road POS	0.334
465	Ravenscar Wood	1.251
1539	Wetherby Road Meadow	2.873
260	Asket Hill	3.132
325	Hollin Hill Wood /Oakwood Green	2.367
509	Roundhay Hall Hospital	2.307
1887	Elmette/Roundhay Woods	3.387
	Total	56.006

Quantity (per thousand people) – $56.006 \div 22.546 = 2.48$ hectares

5.25.2 **Conclusions** - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Roundhay Ward exceeds the recommended standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

Natural Greenspace Chapel Allerton Ward

SITE_ID	SITE_NAME	AREA_HA
1545	Scott Hall Drive	2.115
1824	Sugarwell Hill	10.049
408	Chapel Allerton Park Wood	1.150
160	Woodhouse Ridge	0.931
676	Scott Hall Farm	1.594
510	Gledhow Lane Wood	5.086
	Total	20.925

Quantity (per thousand people) – $20.925 \div 23.536 = 0.89$ hectares

5.25.2 Conclusions - Compared against the standard of 0.7 hectares per 1000 population, Chapel Allerton Ward exceeds the recommended standard and so has surplus provision in terms of the quantity of natural greenspace.

Natural Greenspace – Overall Conclusions

If the totals for the 9 wards are added together it creates an overall standard of **2.42 hectares per 1,000 population** for the area. This well exceeds the Core Strategy standard however this figure is an average. Provision is in surplus in 8 wards, with three of those wards having quite significant surplus. Only one ward (Headingley) has an under provision of natural greenspace.

6.0 Overall summary

6.1 The table below summarises the analysis of quantity of provision by greenspace type and ward.

	Parks and Gardens	Outdoor Sports (excluding education)	Amenity	Children & Young People	Allotments	Natural
Standard	1ha/1000 people	1.2ha/1000 people	0.45ha/1000 people	2 facilities/ 1000 children	0.24ha/1000 people	0.7ha/1000 people
Horsforth	Deficiency (0.08ha)	Surplus (0.5ha)	Deficiency (0.25ha)	Deficiency (2.8 facilities)	Deficiency (0.07ha)	Surplus (3.03ha)
Adel & Wharfedale	Surplus (2.57ha)	Surplus (0.54ha)	Deficiency (0.05ha)	Surplus (1 facility)	Deficiency (0.22ha)	
Kirkstall	Surplus (1.76ha)	Surplus (0.34ha)	Surplus (0.06ha)	Surplus (0.16 facilities)	Surplus (0.44ha)	Surplus (0.15ha)
Headingley	Deficiency (0.9ha)	Deficiency (1.08ha)	Deficiency (0.36ha)	Surplus (1.45 facilities)	Deficiency (0.02ha)	Deficiency (0.12ha)
Weetwood	Surplus (0.05ha)	Deficiency (0.29ha)	Deficiency (0.14ha)	Deficiency (1.07 facilities)	Deficiency (0.14ha)	Surplus (2.9ha)
Moortown	Surplus (0.45ha)	Deficiency (0.74ha)	Surplus (0.78ha)	Surplus (0.11 facilities)	Deficiency (0.18ha)	Surplus (1.2ha)
Alwoodley	Deficiency (-0.55 ha)	Deficiency (-0.36ha)	Surplus (0.15)	Deficiency of 6 facilities	Deficiency (-0.23 ha)	Surplus (1.91 ha)
Roundhay	Surplus (5.67ha)	Deficiency (0.06ha)	Deficiency (0.26ha)	Deficiency (1.8 facilities)	Deficiency (0.09ha)	Surplus (1.78ha)

Chapel Allerton	Deficiency (0.15ha)	Deficiency (0.32ha)	Surplus (0.32ha)	Deficiency (1.5 facilities)	Surplus (0.01ha)	Surplus (0.19ha)
Average	Surplus (0.975ha)	Deficiency (-0.31ha)	Requirement met (0.45ha total)	Deficiency (1.137 facilities)	Deficiency (-0.056ha)	Surplus (1.71ha)

Horsforth: It is noticeable that there are deficiencies in the provision of a number of greenspace types in Horsforth, though some are only marginally deficient. The exception is the considerable surplus of natural greenspace. Some of this may be suitable for laying out as parks and gardens, amenity, children and young people's equipped play facilities or allotments. A comprehensive assessment will be required to determine the most appropriate use of surplus natural greenspace, whether this be for alternative greenspace typologies or potential development which could generate the funds to lay out new areas of greenspace which is currently deficient.

Adel & Wharfedale: Generally speaking this ward is reasonably well provided for in terms of greenspace. It has a large surplus in the quantity of Parks and Gardens and natural greenspace but it is deficient in allotments and has a slight deficiency in amenity greenspace.

Kirkstall: This is the only ward which has a surplus of provision in every type of greenspace which poses the question of whether any of this surplus land should be released for development to meet particularly housing requirements. Kirkstall lies within the Main Urban Area therefore development in the ward would fit with the general locational strategy for development as set out in Spatial Policy 1 of the draft Core Strategy.

Headingley: Headingley is the most deficient ward with all typologies except Childrens and Young People's Equipped Play facilities showing a deficiency. There is clearly little scope to address this through changing the type of surplus greenspace to one that is deficient, therefore the situation is reliant on laying out new greenspace. This could be delivered through development though in such a built up area, this would be subject to identifying new sites to lay out as greenspace.

Weetwood: It is noticeable that there are deficiencies in the provision of a number of greenspace types in Weetwood. The exceptions are the surpluses of parks and gardens and natural greenspace. Some of this may be suitable for laying out as outdoor sport, amenity, children and young people's equipped play facilities or allotments using the potential methods highlighted above, however the limited amount of surplus parks and gardens and natural greenspace is not enough to address the deficiencies in the other typologies. New land for greenspace will be required if the standards are to be met. A comprehensive assessment will be required to determine the most appropriate use of surplus parks and gardens and natural greenspace, whether this be for alternative greenspace typologies or potential development which could generate the funds to lay out new areas of greenspace which is currently deficient.

Moortown: This ward has some small surpluses of some typologies but of most significance is the deficiencies in allotments and outdoor sports provision. The deficiency in allotments reflects the position across the whole of the HMCA.

Alwoodley: The most significant deficiency for this ward is in terms of children's and young people's equipped play facilities. It is also deficient in other typologies and only has a small surplus in terms of the quantity of amenity and natural greenspace.

Roundhay: This ward is well provided for in terms of Parks and Gardens and natural greenspace but it is deficient in all other typologies and is significantly deficient in the provision of children's and young people's equipped play facilities.

Chapel Allerton: This ward is generally well balanced. It has some slight surplus of amenity greenspace, allotments and natural greenspace but not in any great excess. It has deficiencies in Parks and Gardens, Outdoor Sports and Children's and Young People's Equipped Play.

QUALITY OF GREENSPACE.

7.0 Methodology

7.1 Core Strategy Policy G3 identifies the following standards for the quality of greenspace:

Greenspace type	Quality
Parks and Gardens	7
Outdoor sports provision	7
Amenity greenspace	7
Children and young people's equipped play facilities	7
Allotments	7
Natural Greenspace	7

7.2 Each type of greenspace should meet a quality score of 7. This score is determined by assessing an area against a number of criteria, such as i) how welcoming; ii) level of health and safety; iii) cleanliness and maintenance; iv) conservation, habitats and heritage.

7.3 Plan 3 indicates whether the quality of each area of greenspace in the North HMCA meets the required standard (a score of 7 and above) or not (a score of 6.9 or below). This only shows those areas of greenspace within the wards which fall within the North HMCA boundary. Those areas within those wards but outside the HMCA boundary are excluded.

7.4 The table below summarises key information about each typology.

	Parks and Gardens	Outdoor Sports	Amenity	Children & young People	Allotments	Natural
Number of sites	22	60	22	25	22	87
Number scoring 7 & above	3	18	11	5	4	3
Number scoring below 7	19	42	11	20	18	84
Highest score	7.46	9.5	8.18	7.46	7.83	8.9
Lowest score	3.26	1.84	1.13	3.26	0	1.12
Average score	5.59	6.06	5.15	5.76	5.30	4.72

7.5 Conclusions:

ACCESSIBILITY OF GREENSPACE

- 8.1 Core Strategy Policy G3 identifies the following standards for accessibility of greenspace. Each type of greenspace should be within the distance specified.

Greenspace type	Accessibility distance
Parks and Gardens	720m
Outdoor sports provision	Tennis courts – 720m Bowling greens and grass playing pitches – 3.2km Athletics tracks and synthetic pitches – 6.4km
Amenity greenspace	480m
Children and young people's equipped play facilities	720m
Allotments	960m
Natural Greenspace	720m

- 8.2 Plans which show the required buffers as set out above, for each greenspace type are available. Please contact Leeds City Council directly at ldf@leeds.gov.uk. Some conclusions are drawn out below:
- 8.2.1 **Parks and Gardens** The overwhelming majority of residential properties within the north area have very good accessibility to Parks & Gardens, with the majority of the populated areas lying within 720m (a 10 minute walking distance) of parks and gardens. Only a very small proportion of residential properties fall beyond the 720m (10 minute walking distance) standard.
- 8.2.2 **Outdoor Sports Provision** The whole North area is within the required accessibility distance (3.2km) for grass playing pitches, including bowling greens. Weetwood, Moortown, Chapel Allerton and Roundhay are well served by tennis courts and the majority of the area is within the (720m or 10 minute walking distance), with facilities beyond the North area boundary in Armley, Hyde Park & Woodhouse also serving the North area.
- 8.2.3 **Amenity Greenspace** Generally, the North area is well served by amenity greenspace, with large areas of most wards able to access some form of amenity greenspace within the 480m buffer. The western edge of Horsforth ward is the most poorly served area in terms of amenity space accessibility, with Moortown the best served ward with the bulk of development falling within the 480m buffer.
- 8.2.4 **Children and Young People's Equipped Play Facilities** Most of the built up parts of the North area are within 720m of play facilities, however the south eastern part of Adel and Wharfedale and the northern part of Weetwood ward are the worst served, with the western edge of Horsforth ward also scoring poorly
- 8.2.5 **Allotments** A large part of the North area lies beyond the 960m threshold for allotments, with accessibility to the north in Adel & Wharfedale, Alwoodley, and parts of Weetwood and Horsforth notably poor in comparison to the rest of the North area.
- 8.2.6 **Natural Greenspace** Only the north western and eastern edges of north area lie beyond the accessibility to 20ha of natural greenspace within 2km Core Strategy G3 threshold. The overwhelming majority of the North area lies within 720m of some natural greenspace.

9.0 OVERALL CONCLUSIONS FROM THE GREENSPACE ANALYSIS IN NORTH:

9.1 Quantity

- 9.1.1 Overall North HMCA is well provided for in terms of certain types of greenspace, though deficient in others. The most notable deficiency is in allotments, with some wards having very significant deficiencies. It should also be noted that most of the 9 wards generally have a reasonable level of provision, whilst lacking in some typologies this tends to be compensated with other typologies. However this is not the case in Headingley ward where there are deficiencies in all typologies except children's and young people's equipped play facilities. Kirkstall is the only ward in the HMCA to have a surplus of provision in all typologies.
- 9.1.2 The quantity of Parks and Gardens is very unevenly distributed across the HMCA with some wards being very deficient (Headingley) and other wards having a large surplus (Adel and Wharfedale, Roundhay). The best provision is in natural greenspace with only Headingley ward having a deficiency. Horsforth, Adel and Wharfedale and Weetwood have very significant provision of natural greenspace. It should be noted that outdoor sport excludes a significant number of sport facilities within education facilities as they have been universally regarded as for the use of the school only and private. In some cases communities will have access to school pitches and facilities therefore these deficiencies may not exist.
- 9.1.3 There is a need to provide certain specific types of greenspace across all 9 wards. This could be achieved by laying out some of the surplus areas of alternative greenspace types e.g. lay out some of the surplus natural greenspace to allotments which are deficient. Alternatively new areas which aren't currently greenspace could be laid out to improve quantity of provision. This could be delivered by a developer as a requirement on new residential development or by the Council following the payment of commuted sums. If the typology of an area of greenspace is to be changed, it will need to be carefully assessed to ensure it is suitable and appropriate for the new type and not a well used and valued area of the original typology.

9.2 Quality

- 9.2.1 Across the North area, the majority of sites (194 out of 238) are below the required quality standard of 7, which indicates an issue of substandard greenspace provision across all typologies in the area. The lack of good quality parks and gardens, natural greenspace and allotment sites is particularly noticeable.

9.3 Accessibility

- 9.3.1 Accessibility to all types of greenspace is generally good across the North area.

QUESTIONS ABOUT GREENSPACE PROVISION IN NORTH

General

- G1. Do you have any comments on the proposed boundary amendments, additions and deletions to the greenspace provision in the area as shown on greenspace plan A?**

- G2. Do you think the Council should consider changing the type of greenspace where that type of greenspace is in surplus (ie more than meets the standard) to another type of greenspace that falls short of the standards?**
- G3. Do you think the Council should consider allowing development of any of the greenspace sites where that type of greenspace is in surplus (ie more than meets the standard)? If so, which sites?**
- G4. The quality of many existing greenspace sites in the area falls below the required standard. Do you agree that resources (including commuted sums obtained from planning permissions and legal agreements) should be channelled to improving quality of existing sites?**
- G5. Alternatively, if a site is of poor quality and/or disused, do you think it is better to consider allowing development of that site to generate resources to invest in greenspace elsewhere?**
- G6. Do you agree that, where opportunities arise, new greenspace provision should be provided in areas that fall below accessibility distance standards, to ensure residents have adequate access to different types of greenspace?**
- G7. Have you any other comments/suggestions about greenspace provision in the area?**

Specific to North:

- G8. The existing UDP N1 greenspace designation at High Moor Avenue and the open space to the west identified as amenity greenspace in the Open Space Audit, has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 81, see page 10 of Issues & Options). Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing??**
- G9. The existing UDP N5 (proposed greenspace) designation at land at Fraser Avenue, Horsforth has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 1014, see page 11 Issues & Options). It was not identified as in a greenspace use in the Open Space Audit and has clearly not been delivered through Policy N5, therefore it is proposed to delete the allocation. Do you think this land should be retained as an opportunity for possible future greenspace or could it be released for housing?**
- G10. Part of the existing UDP N1A (allotments) designation at land off Gledhow Valley Road, Chapel Allerton has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 1019, see page 11 Issues & Options). It was not identified as in a greenspace use in the Open Space Audit therefore it is proposed to amend the boundary of the allocation to exclude this land. Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?**
- G11. The existing UDP N6 (playing pitches) designation at Highbury Cricket Ground, North East Hollins Drive, Meanwood and the open space to the west identified as outdoor sport in the Open Space Audit, has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 1170, see page 13 Issues & Options). Do**

you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?

- G12. The existing UDP N5 (proposed greenspace) designation at the Former Yorkshire Bank Sports Ground, Shadwell Lane and the open space to the east identified as outdoor sport in the Open Space Audit, has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 1172, see page 13 Issues & Options). Do you think this site could be developed for housing or should it be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies)?**
- G13. A significant part of the existing UDP N5 (proposed greenspace) designation at land to rear of Oakford Terrace, Low Lane, Horsforth has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 1238, see page 14 Issues & Options). The majority of this site was identified as natural greenspace in the Open Space Audit. Do you think this land should be retained as an opportunity for possible future greenspace or could it be released for housing?**
- G14. A small part of the existing UDP N6 (playing pitches) designation at Boddington Hall, Otley Road, Lawnswood has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 1299B, see page 15 Issues & Options). The whole N6 allocation (including the area beyond the SHLAA site) and the whole SHLAA site was identified as outdoor sport in educational ownership in the Open Space Audit. Do you think this land (the existing N6 allocation and the new areas identified through the Open Space Audit) should be allocated as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?**
- G15. The existing UDP N5 (proposed greenspace) designation at land at Outwood Lane, Horsforth has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 1310, see page 15 Issues & Options). It was not identified as in a greenspace use in the Open Space Audit and has clearly not been delivered through Policy N5, therefore it is proposed to delete the allocation. Do you think this land should be retained as an opportunity for possible future greenspace or could it be released for housing?**
- G16. The existing UDP N5 (proposed greenspace) designation at land at North Ives Farm, Brownberrie Gardens, Horsforth has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 2046, see page 15 Issues & Options). It was not identified as in a greenspace use in the Open Space Audit and has clearly not been delivered through Policy N5, therefore it is proposed to delete the allocation (called land north of Brownberrie Walk/ Avenue for greenspace purposes). Do you think this land should be retained as an opportunity for possible future greenspace or could it be released for housing?**
- G17. The existing UDP N1A (allotments) designation at Carr Manor, Meanwood has been put forward as part of a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 2055, see page 16 Issues & Options). The site was identified as allotments in the Open Space Audit. Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?**

- G18. Part of the existing UDP N6 (playing pitches) designation at Allerton Grange Hill School, Talbot Avenue, Moor Allerton, identified as outdoor sport in educational ownership in the Open Space Audit, has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 2058, see page 16 Issues & Options). Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?**
- G19. The existing UDP N6 (playing pitches) designation at Weetwood Avenue, Far Headingley (Weetwood Sports Ground) has been put forward as part of a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 3376, see page 19 Issues & Options). The site was identified as outdoor sport in the Open Space Audit. Do you think this land should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?**
- G20. The N1 greenspace UDP designation South of Fearnville Place has been put forward as a possible housing site (SHLAA ref 4094, see page 20) Issues & Options. Do you think this site should be retained as greenspace (in one of the identified typologies) or released for housing?**

Appendix 1

UDP designated greenspace sites not identified as greenspace in the Open Space Audit – proposed to be deleted

Open Space type	Ref number	Address	Reasons for proposed deletion
N1	9/3	Holtdale Approach, Holt Park	Less than the 0.2ha threshold.
N1	16/16	Kirkstall Lane, Kirkstall	Privately owned, no public access
N1	16/19	St Michael's Lane, Headingley	2 areas less than the 0.2ha threshold.
N1	16/34	The Village Street, Burley	Less than the 0.2ha threshold.
N1	18/5	Leafield Towers, Moortown	2 areas less than the 0.2ha threshold.
N5 (proposed open space)		Land at Outwood Lane, Horsforth	In an agricultural rather than a greenspace use
N5 (proposed open space)	14/6X	Land at Fraser Avenue, Horsforth	In an agricultural rather than a greenspace use.
N5 (proposed open space)	14/21	Land north of Brownberrie Walk/ Avenue	In an agricultural rather than a greenspace use
N6 (playing pitch)	27/4X	Talbot Road Sports Ground, Roundhay	Developed for housing
N6 (playing pitch)	13/8	Springbank Primary School, Headingley	Developed – hard standing/car parking.